UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKx	11-9151997
In re	Chapter 11
DELPHI CORPORATION,	Case No. 05-44481-rdd Judge Robert D. Drain (Jointly Administered)
Debtor.	U =====-y

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO VACATE STAY

The Court is respectfully referred to the Notice of Motion and Application with Exhibits, which accompanies this Memorandum of Law for a recitation of the relevant facts pertaining to the within Motion to Vacate the Automatic Stay to allow Applicants to commence an action in the Northern District of Alabama entitled Jimmy Mueller,

David Gargis and Keith Livingston v. Delphi Corporation and Delphi Hourly-Rate

Employee Pension Plan (the "Alabama Litigation") under 29 U.S.C. §§1132(a) and 1140 of ERISA.

ARGUMENT

POINT I

VACATING THE AUTOMATIC STAY WILL NOT PREJUDICE THE DEBTOR'S BANKRUPTCY ESTATE

It has been established by precedent that the Court will consider a number of factors to determine whether the stay should be lifted in order for litigation to proceed in another forum.

- (1) whether relief would result in a partial or complete resolution of the issues;
- (2) lack of any connection with or interference with the bankruptcy case;
- (3) whether the other proceeding involves the Debtor as a fiduciary;
- (4) whether a specialized tribunal with the necessary expertise has been established to hear the cause of action;
- (5) whether the Debtor's insurer has assumed full responsibility for defending it;
 - (6) whether the action involves third parties;
- (7) whether the litigation in another forum would prejudice the interests of other creditors;
- (8) whether the judgment claim arising from the other action is subject to equitable subordination;
- (9) whether movants success in the other proceeding would result in a judicial lien avoidable by the Debtor;
- (10) the interests of judicial economy and the expeditious and economical resolution of litigation;
 - (11) whether the parties are ready for trial in the other proceeding; and
- (12) impact of the stay on the parties and the balance of harms

 In re Mazzeo 167 F.3d 139 (2d Cir 1999) citing In re Sonnax Industries, Inc. 907 F.2d

 1280, 1285.

As aforementioned, Applicants seek to vacate the automatic stay so as to permit them to commence an action in the Northern District of Alabama entitled <u>Jimmy</u>

<u>Mueller, David Gargis and Keith Livingston v. Delphi Corporation and Delphi</u>

<u>Hourly-Rate Employee Pension Plan</u>. Applicants are seeking injunctive relief

pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§1132(a) and 1140 of ERISA, and specifically that Delphi be directed to re-transfer each of the Applicants back to the Delphi Hourly Rate Employee Pension Plan. The proposed Complaint does not seek any direct monetary relief against the Debtor by its within action.

A determination of the Alabama Litigation will result in a partial or complete resolution of the issues. The Alabama Litigation does not have any connection with or interference with the bankruptcy case nor does it involve the Debtor as a fiduciary. The District Court in Alabama is more suited to determine Applicants claims under ERISA as the District Court has the necessary expertise to hear the Alabama Litigation. As Applicant is only seeking injunctive relief, the Alabama Litigation would not prejudice the interests of other creditors. Movants success in the Alabama Litigation would not result in a judicial lien avoidable by the Debtor. Moreover, the interests of judicial economy and the expeditious and economical resolution of litigation will be completed by the Alabama Litigation.

CONCLUSION

It is clear that allowing Movants to commence the Alabama Litigation to obtain injunctive relief under ERISA (specifically an Order directing that they be permitted to

re-transfer from salaried employees back to hourly employees) will have no effect on the Bankruptcy Estate. Accordingly, cause exists to permit vacatur the automatic stay to allow Movants to continue with the Alabama Litigation under 11 U.S.C. §362(d)(1).

Dated: East Meadow, New York December 5, 2007.

THALER & GERTLER, LLP
Attorneys for Jimmy Mueller, David
Gargis and Keith Livingston
90 Merrick Avenue
Suite 400
East Meadow, New York 11554
(516) 228-3553

By:

Michael A. Farina (MAF-1369)

TO: UNITED STATES TRUSTEE'S OFFICE

33 Whitehall Street 21st Floor, Suite 2100 New York, New York 10004 Attention: Alicia M. Leonhard

DELPHI CORPORATION c/o WILMERHALE, LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20006 Attention: Andrew Currie, Esq.

DELPHI CORPORATION

c/o WILMERHALE, LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20006 Attention: Caroline Rogus, Esq.

DELPHI CORPORATION

c/o LANGLEY & BANACK, INCORPORATED 745 E. Mulberry Suite 900 San Antonio, TX 78212 Attention: David S. Gragg, Esq.

DELPHI CORPORATION

c/o SHEARMAN & STERLING, LLP 599 Lexington Avenue New York, New York. 10022-6069 Attention: Douglas P. Bartner, Esq.

DELPHI CORPORATION

5725 Delphi Drive Troy, Michigan 48098 Attention: General Counsel

DELPHI CORPORATION

c/o O'MELVENY & MYERS 7 Times Square New York, New York 10022-6069 Attention: Jessica Kastin, Esq.

DELPHI CORPORATION

c/o SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP 333 West Wacker Drive Suite 2100 Chicago, Illinois 60606-1285 Attention: William Butler, Jr., Esq. DELPHI CORPORATION c/o MEYER, SUOZZI, ENGLISH & KLEIN 1350 Broadway Suite 501 New York, New York 10018 Attention: Lowell Peterson, Esq.

DELPHI CORPORATION

c/o CROWELL & MORING, LLP 153 East 53rd Street 31st Floor New York, New York 10022 Attention: Mark S. Lichtenstein, Esq.

DELPHI CORPORATION

c/o QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHARDT OLIVER and HEDGES 865 S. Figueroa Street 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017 Attention: Mateo Fowler, Esq.

DELPHI CORPORATION c/o MICHAEL O'HAYER, ESQ. 22 North Walnut Street

West Chester, PA 19380

DELPHI CORPORATION

c/o MAYER BROWN, LLP 71 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 Attention: Paul J.N. Roy, Esq.

DELPHI CORPORATION

c/c

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP

Four Times Square

New York, New York 10036

Attention: Kayalyn A. Marafioti, Esq.

Thomas J. Matz, Esq.

KURTZMAN CARSON CONSULTANTS, LLC

2335 Alaska Avenue

El Segundo, CA 90245

OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED

CREDITORS

c/o

LATHAM & WATKINS

885 Third Avenue

New York, New York 10022-4802

Attention: Mark A. Broude, Esq.

Robert J. Rosenberg, Esq.

OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED

CREDITORS

c/o

WARNER STEVENS, LLP

301 Commerce Street

Suite 1700

Fort Worth, TX 76102

DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL

450 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10017

Attention: Donald Bernstein, Esq.

Brian Resnick, Esq.

OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF EQUITY SECURITY HOLDERS c/o
FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACKSON, LLP One New York Plaza New York, New York 10004 Attention: Bonnie Steingart, Esq.

A-D ACQUISITION HOLDINGS, LLC c/o
APPALOOSA MANAGEMENT, L.P. c/o WHITE & CASE LLP
Wachovia Financial Center
200 South Biscayne Boulevard
Suite 4900
Miami, Florida 33131
Attention: Thomas E. Lauria, Esq.

A-D ACQUISITION HOLDINGS, LLC c/o
APPALOOSA MANAGEMENT, L.P.
c/o WHITE & CASE LLP
1155 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
Attention: Glenn M. Kurtz, Esq.
Gregory Pryor, Esq.

HARBINGER DEL-AUTO INVESTMENT COMPANY, LTD. c/o WHITE & CASE LLP Wachovia Financial Center 200 South Biscayne Boulevard Suite 4900 Miami, Florida 33131 Attention: Thomas E. Lauria, Esq. HARBINGER DEL-AUTO INVESTMENT COMPANY, LTD. c/o WHITE & CASE LLP 1155 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 Attention: Glenn M. Kurtz, Esq. Gregory Pryor, Esq.

KAYE SCHOLER, LLP
425 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Attention: Benjamin Mintz, Esq.
Lyn Toby Fisher, Esq.

W:\Clients A-Z\Clients K-N\Martin.David\memooflaw.wpd